

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/657,475	09/08/2003	Curtis C. Ballard	200314482-1	2678
7590 12/14/2005			EXAMINER	
HEWLETT- PACKARD COMPANY			NAMAZI, MEHDI	
Intellectual Property Administration P. O. Box 272400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			<u> </u>	TATER NOMBER
Fort Collins, Co	J 80527-2400		2189	
		DATE MAILED: 12/14/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/657,475	BALLARD ET AL.	•
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Mehdi Namazi	2189	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover she	et with the correspondence ac	ddress
A SHOWHIC - Externafter - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RECHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state to receive by the Office later than three months after the med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMN 1.136(a). In no event, however, in ited will apply and will expire SIX (a tute, cause the application to become	MUNICATION. may a reply be timely filed 6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2. This action is FINAL . 2b) 1 Since this application is in condition for allocation accordance with the practice under	his action is non-final. wance except for formal	•	e merits is
Dispositi	on of Claims			
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the applicated 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with the Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>6-20</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	Irawn from consideration		
Applicati	on Papers			
10) 🔲	The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and a specificant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the continuous the oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected or b) objected or b) objected he drawing(s) be held in a rection is required if the drawing of the drawing or better the drawing or better or better the drawing or better or by the better or b	beyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). awing(s) is objected to. See 37 C	
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)□ <i>,</i> a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the paper application from the International Bursee the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received ents have been received riority documents have eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	d. I in Application No been received in this National	Stage
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ r No(s)/Mail Date	Pape 08) 5) Notice	view Summary (PTO-413) er No(s)/Mail Date ce of Informal Patent Application (PTO er:	O-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/657,475

Art Unit: 2189

DETAILED ACTION

1. This office action is in response to amendment filed September 28, 2005.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-20 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 16 recites the limitation "the first data-caching" in lines 8, and 9. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Application/Control Number: 10/657,475

Art Unit: 2189

Claims 6, 8-11, 13-16, and 18-20) are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by McKean et al. (US. Patent No. 6,681,339).

As per claims 6, 11, and 16, McKean teaches a system for backing up information, comprising: a first data-directing device configured to receive data to be backed up (fig. 1, element 116, receives data from host system to be back up); a first backup storage device that is communicatively coupled to the data-directing device and that is configured to store the received data (fig. 1, data storage 108); a data-caching device that is coupled to the data-directing device and that is configured to store the received data (fig. 1, cache 120 located in controller 116 or data directing device receives data); a switch that is configured to communicatively couple the data-directing device to a second backup storage device responsive to a backup operation failure (cols. 4-5, lines 63-5, wherein during the failure of one of the controllers the surviving controller enters failback mode(switching to) uses its cache line data from its mirror area), wherein data stored in the data-caching device is transferred to the second backup storage device via the data-directing device responsive to the backup operation failure (col. 5, lines 1-19, by transferring data (mirroring from other cache) to back up storage either 108, or 110).

As per claims 8, 13, and 18 McKean teaches the backup storage devices are storage medium drives (col. 9, line 7).

As per claims, 9, 14, and 19, McKean teaches the received data is stored on a storage medium by the backup storage device (col. 9, line 7).

Application/Control Number: 10/657,475 Page 4

Art Unit: 2189

As per claims 10, 15, and 20, McKean teaches storing the received data by the data-caching device and storing the received data by the first backup storage device are performed substantially simultaneously (col. 4, lines 63-67, data is written into both caches of controllers in at the same time mirroring).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 7, 12, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McKean, and further in view of Kitamura et al. (2005/0044163).

As per claims 2, 7, 12, and 17, McKean teaches the claimed invention, but fails to teach that the switch is a fiber channel switch.

Kitamura teaches a system for performing efficient backup wherein a fiber channel switch has been used for main server and backup server (fig. 8).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use fiber channel in switching system as taught by Kitamura into system of McKean in order to speed up data transfer through the switch.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 1-5 are allowed.

Application/Control Number: 10/657,475 Page 5

Art Unit: 2189

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mehdi Namazi whose telephone number is 571-272-4209. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mano Padmanabhan can be reached on 571-272-4210. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mehdi Marnazi / . December 6, 2005

MANO PADMANABHAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINES

and Canadha